VOUCHER FOR TRANSFERS PAGE NO. 001 CIC #: 99EPA SUPERFUND *********** BETWEEN APPROPRIATIONS AND/OR FUNDS BILLED DATE 01-MAR-2005 ACCOUNTS OF CUSTOMER ORDER NUMBER DW96941975 (AR 37-1) (DIAMOND ALKALI (TA RI/FS) BZ694 (EPA 530 BILL NO. BU VOUCHER NO. PAID BY CHECK NO. COLLECTION VOU. NO. D.O. VOUCHER NO. 27028246 PARTIAL # 26 01-FEB-2005 THRU 01-MAR-2005 BILLED OFFICE (MAIL TO): BILLING OFFICE (SEND REMITTANCE TO): U S ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY USACE FINANCE CENTER ACCOUNTING OPERATIONS OFFICE KANSAS CITY DISTRICT G5 26 W MARTIN LUTHER KING DRIVE 5720 INTEGRITY DRIVE CINCINNATI OH 45268-7002 MILLINGTON TN 38054-5005 ATTN BILLED ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION BILLING ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION 000000 68 20 X 8145.0000 2002 NA 0000 NA 96 NA X 3122.0000 G5 08 2416 848 012975 96231 \$12,187.96 \$12,187.96 DESCRIPTION LINE ITEM MOA TRANSP OF GOVT EMPLOYEES OR OTHERS, PERDIEM ALLOW IN TVL STATUS & OTH INCIDENTAL TRVL EXP CONTRACT - OUTSIDE GOVERNMENT 1 \$718.32 DEPARTMENTAL OVERHEAD COSTS INHOUSE - LABOR 1 \$3,437.59 INHOUSE - LABOR GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE OVERHEAD COSTS 1 \$1,421.31 INHOUSE - LABOR LABOR 1 \$6,610.74 SUBTOTAL \$12,187.96 PARTIAL AMOUNT PAID \$.00 PAYMENT DUE DATE 31-MAR-2005 PAY THIS AMOUNT \$12,187.96 2 2 Ag 72 3

Elizabeth A. Buckrucker Project Manager

\$.00

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICE BILLED

\$3,756,000.00 I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE ARTICLES WERE RECEIVED AND ACCEPTED OR THE SERVICES PERFORMED AS FUNDS AUTHORIZED: STATED AND SHOULD BE CHARGED TO THE APPROPRIATION(S) AND/OR FUND(S) AS INDICATED ABOVE, TOTAL BILLED AMOUNT: \$1,323,243.11 \$1,311,055.15 OR THAT THE ADVANCE PAYMENT REQUESTED IS APPROVED AND SHOULD BE PAID AS INDICATED. PREVIOUS BILLED AMOUNT: CURRENT BILLED AMOUNT: \$12,187.96 TOTAL FLUX BILLED: \$.00 DATE PREVIOUS FLUX BILLED: \$.00 AUTHORIZED ADMINISTRATIVE OR CERTIFYING OFFICER

DA FORM 4445-R APPROVED BY TREASURY -FOR USE IN LIEU OF SF 1080

CURRENT FLUX BILLED:

SDMS Document

90630

Progress Report for EPA Region II

Site:	Diamond Alkali, C Expansion, New	•	assaic River Study	Phase: RI/FS, OU3				
Bill No.:	27028246 Partial #26	IAG No.:	DW96941975 (BZ694) IAG Award Date: 9/24/02	,	G Expiration Date: 2/31/07	Other Corps N/A		
Reporting Period:	From: Feb 1, 2005	To: Mar 1, 200	EPA RPM Alice Yeh		USACE PN Elizabeth E	•		

Work Performe	d	
This Period	•	Project progress conference call with EPA/MPI; held 1, 15 and 28 Feb 05. HydroQual and Battelle personnel participate and provide status of their work during this call.
	•	Traveled to NY 1-4 Feb 05 for team meetings. Attended Project Delivery Team meeting held 2 Feb 05.
		On 3 Feb 05, attended the Modeling Progress Meeting at HQI offices. Team personnel from EPA, Corps, MPI, HQI and Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) were present. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss each of the individual models and comments received from the TAC members. HQI presented each model and the comments received their response and approach planned to respond accordingly. Several technical discussions over specifics of each model were discussed and generally, a consensus was reached on how to proceed. Procedures for how the Modeling Consensus Meeting would be conducted were also discussed so agency and TAC personnel would be informed prior to having the consensus meeting.
		On 4 Feb 05, attended the Modeling Plan Consensus Meeting was held at EPA offices in NY. Members from all agencies, stakeholders, and PRPs attended. A pre-meeting was held with MPI, HQI and TAC members. The purpose of the meeting was for HQI to present an overview of the model plan and components and present significant comments. A lengthy open discussion occurred to address model components, significant assumptions, the use of the model in risk assessment and feasibility study, interactions of the Passaic and Newark Bay models and other very model specific issues.
		Received Earl Hayter's comments on the Draft Model Plan on 6 Feb 05. Forwarded these to MPI on 8 Feb 05, and based upon E. Hayter's comments/serious concerns noted to MPI that the contract requirements had not been met in preparation of this Model Plan. Further noted that MPI had not conducted their QC review as specifically required by the contract. Numerous discussions were held the week of 7 Feb 05 concerning the plans for correcting this document and best process on how to accomplish this work. It was decided that a meeting would be held on 8 March 2005, to discuss in detail E. Hayter's comments and HQI's plans for correcting the document. It was also determined that changes to the document would be at no additional cost to the government.
	•	On 8 Feb 05, forwarded Earl Hayter several historical documents for his information and review.
	•	On 10 Feb 05, received the "pre-final" cost proposal from MPI. Performed final check of this proposal and provided comments to MPI on 16 Feb 05. Discussed these comments with Len Warner on 22 Feb 05. Anticipate final proposal to be submitted in early March with award occurring during the next reporting period. The delay is based on the number of proposal revisions required to finalize and correct all details.
	-	On 15 and 18 Feb 05, had discussions with Len Warner, resulting in preparation of an interim WVN allowing MPI to immediately proceed on many tasks which will be awarded with the next contract modification.
	•	On 16 and 17 Feb 05, prepared an interim ACASS (performance evaluation) on MPI for Task Order 0011. Submitted that to the Corps Contracting Officer Representative for his comments and approval.
	•	On 22 Feb 05, received the MPI QC comments on the Draft Modeling Plan. Coordinated these with E. Hayter for his response in consideration of these comments.
	•	Received invoice from MPI during the reporting period and have had discussions concerning their tracking of the technical support budget. Currently awaiting more documentation on this item prior to making payment.

4/12/2005

Progress Report for EPA Region II

On 1 Mar 05, participated in the Data Type/Data Users Meeting held with MPI, HQI and Battelle. Work Performed This Period - conf. On 1 Feb 05, the Final HASP was submitted and posted on PREmis for final review and approval. Coordinated for PREmis access to Corps-NY reviewer (Ravmond Lo) and provided hard copy to Corps-KC reviewer (Andrea Pouliot). Final back check of document currently underway. Preparation of monthly progress report and update of the contract expenditure and projection spreadsheet for IAG -1975. A copy of the spreadsheet for the IAG is attached. Began consolidating the overall cost of work conducted to date and comparing this to original estimates and assumptions prepared by EPA. This comparison will assist EPA in reviewing actual cost vs. planned cost and examining the assumptions made and possibly revisiting those for applicability. (This was on hold during month of February - other priorities took precedence.) Telephone conference call; Progress Meetings – 1, 15 & 28 Feb 05; EPA/COE – KC/MPI/HQI/BAT. Meetings This Period Project Delivery Team Meeting, 2 Feb 05; all project stakeholders invited Modeling Plan Progress Meeting; 3 Feb 05; EPA/COE-KC and NY/OMR/MPI/HQI/TAC Modeling Plan Consensus Meeting, 4 Feb 05; all project stakeholders invited Data Type/Data Users Meeting; 1 Mar 05; MPI, HQI, BAT and COE – KC. Prior to 1 Jan 03 - See IAG DW96941915 for milestones completed. Key Contract Milestones Completed 6 & 14 Jan 03 - Contract Negotiations with MPI - WAD 3. to Date 11 Mar 03 - Task Order 0011 awarded for \$325,262.00; WAD 3. 8 & 30 Oct 03, 12 Nov 03 - Contract Negotiations with MPI; WADs 4 & 5. 1 Dec 03 - Task Order 0011/Modification 2 awarded for \$502,836 (obligated); WADs 4 & 5. 12 Dec 03 – Contract Negotiations with MPI – WAD 6. 2 Feb 04 – Task Order 0011/Modification 3 awarded for \$94,236 (obligated); WAD 6 29 Apr 04 - Task Order 0011/Modification 4 awarded for \$155,206 (obligated); to continue work on WADs 4-6. 17 May 04 – WVN/ATP approved allowing MPI to shift funds into FSP for fall field sampling effort planning. 27 Aug 04 - Task Order 0011/Modification 5 awarded for \$1,313,167.00 (obligated) to continue work on WADs 4-6. 13 & 14 Jan 05 - Contract Negotiations with MPI - WADs 4-7. WAD 1 Work: Contractor Actions **Accomplishments** WAD has been closed and is awaiting final contract modification for closure. WAD 2 Work: WAD has been closed and is awaiting final contract modification for closure. WAD 3 Work: During the reporting period minor website maintenance occurred. WAD 4 Work: Participation in telephone conference calls as noted above. Preparation of Progress Reports, Budget Schedule Forecast and invoices. On February 2, 2005 a Project Delivery Team (PDT) Meeting was held at the USACE NY District offices in lower Manhattan. Topics of discussion included: a presentation on deep soil mixing/in-situ sediment stabilization, the status of the 2004 USACE bathymetric survey data, preparation of the Work Plan/FSP Volume 1 and the January 27th meeting with DESA, Site Recon Round 2, FSP Volume 3 status, the upcoming modeling plan meeting, revision of the PAR, development of a water quality monitoring program for the dredging pilot, progress with the CIP and community interviews, and a proposal to assemble a number of small work groups to allow PDT members to review and comment

on specific project tasks in detail.

- On February 7, 2005 Community Interviews were held with the following individuals at the Clifton Public Library in Clifton, NJ: Mary Shaughnessy, Bloomfield Third Riverbank Association; Glenn Carter, Planning Director, City of Passaic; Mario Tucci, Brian Intivola, Mike Naughton, Passaic River Rowing Association; Sondra Barguero, Anita Ghanooni, Immigration and American Citizenship Organization; Cara Monkowski, WMA4 Watershed Ambassador. Interviews were conducted by David Kluesner, Elizabeth Butler, USEPA; Carolyn Vadino, USACE; Ann Rychlenski, Elke Muzikar, MPI. Draft notes were posted to PREmis on February 11, 2005.
- On February 9, 2005 a Community Interview was held via telephone with Rev. Fletcher Harper of Green Faith, Trenton,
 NJ. Also participating in the call were: David Kluesner, Alice Yeh, Elizabeth Butler, USEPA; Ann Rychlenski, MPI.
 Draft notes were posted to PREmis on February 11, 2005.
- On February 9, 2005 MPI submitted a revised Negotiated Cost Proposal to USACE/USEPA to request funding for field
 activities, modeling work, risk assessment work, and associated project management activities for the upcoming year.
 This was submitted based on discussions with USACE.
- On February 11, 2005 a Community Interview was held via telephone with Abigail Fair of the ANJEC. Draft notes
 were posted to PREmis on February 24, 2005.
- On February 17, 2005 a Community Interview was held at the USEPA Region 2 offices; New York, NY with Michelle McBean, Executive Director of Future City, Inc. Draft interview notes were posted to the Digital Library on PREmis on February 24, 2005.
- · Malcolm Pirnie is analyzing community interview notes for common threads, and preparing final interview notes

WAD 5 Work:

- Throughout the reporting period, work continued on preparation of the following planning documents: the Draft Work Plan, Draft Field Sampling Plan Volume I, and the Draft QAPP (including the project DQOs).
- On February 3, 2005 a Modeling Plan Working Session was held at HydroQual's offices in Mahwah, NJ to discuss the
 Passaic River modeling plan. Topics of discussion were: individual model components (hydrodynamic modeling;
 sediment transport modeling; fate and transport modeling; bioaccumulation/food chain modeling) and significant
 assumptions; model development sequence; DQOs/data collection and analyses; and summary of modeling objectives.
- On February 4, 2005 a Modeling Plan Consensus Meeting was held at the USEPA Region 2 offices in New York, NY to discuss the modeling plan for the Passaic River and Newark Bay projects. Topics discussed were: program context of modeling; modeling plan overview presentation (modeling objectives, relationship to CARP, modeling components and framework, overview of significant comments and TAC discussion); open discussion (topics included: modeling goals relative to CARP; individual model components and significant assumptions; model development sequence; use of model in risk assessment and feasibility study; compatibility with WRDA and NRD modeling goals; interactions between Passaic River and Newark Bay; selection of chemicals to be modeled; data requirements for calibration and relationship to DQOs; uncertainty of model calibration and prediction; parameterization and coefficient selection; model grid size and structure; data usability; tracing of contaminants such as mercury).
- On February 7, 2005 John Logigian and Alice Yeh met at the Diamond Alkali Site (80-120 Lister Avenue, Newark, NJ) to look at potential use of the site as a field staging area for Passaic River field investigations. During the site tour, it was determined that the Diamond Alkali site would not be appropriate for use as a staging area. John Logigian identified available building space in a corporate park in East Rutherford, NJ that may be suitable for use as a field staging area and is discussing this option with the agencies. MPI has received a lease from the building management company, and MPI's legal and real estate groups are currently reviewing the lease for terms and conditions.
- On February 10, 2005 the following field activities occurred. Buoy 1 was pulled up and the field crew performed equipment maintenance. The top microlite will be used for water column sampling, so only the bottom microlite and Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) were deployed. Buoy 2 was pulled up for maintenance; the bottom Optical Back Scatter (OBS) connector was broken, so it was sent back to Coastal Leasing Company for repair. Due to the loss of tide (i.e., the boat draft was too shallow), Buoy 2 was not redeployed.
- On February 11, 2005 the field crew returned to Nereid Boat Club to complete buoy maintenance work, but could not
 gain access to the boat club until 5:30pm. Tom Robinson (MPI) went to the boat club to meet the EPA boat captain to
 get the boat out of the club gate.

- On February 11, 2005 a conference call was held between MPI and Battelle to discuss efforts regarding 3D mapping of
 historical sediment data. The purpose of this conference call was to evaluate the 3D maps of historical sediment data
 produced by Battelle and their applicability/utility for developing field sampling plans. Discussions will continue
 throughout the next reporting period to determine the most effective way to continue with regard to this task.
 Participants were: Len Warner, Neven Kresic, Amy Atamian, Cindy How, MPI; Tom Gulbransen, J. Hicks, S. Nabor,
 Battelle.
- On February 11, 2005 project team members from Battelle and MPI discussed comments regarding QC Tables via teleconference. Don Gunster will review additional action levels recommended by NOAA and NJDEP to determine whether they would change any of the proposed reporting levels listed in the Action Level Table.
- On February 15, 2005 a conference call was held between Malcolm Pimie, Battelle, and HydroQual to discuss tasks and
 goals associated with the upcoming preliminary geochemical and historical data analyses. Participants included: Ed
 Garvey, Lisa Szegedi-Greco, Len Warner, Neven Kresic, Solomon Gbondo-Tugbawa and Bruce Fidler Malcolm
 Pimie; Don Gunster and Betsy Barrows Battelle; Tom Gallagher HydroQual.
- On February 22, 2005 a Modeling Plan consensus meeting was held at Malcolm Pirnie Fair Lawn, NJ office to discuss issues related to the Modeling Plan for the Passaic River and Newark Bay projects. Topics discussed were: fundamental modeling issues (Passaic River vs. Newark Bay, EFDC vs. CARP model); modeling plan resubmittal strategy; data needs for the model (geotechnical sampling, high-resolution cores, sedflume vs. Gust microcosm); framework for collaboration during model development (oversight of all parties involved); and client expectations for the work forward. Participants included: Neven Kresic, Clifton Bell, Ed Garvey, Solomon Gbondo-Tugbawa, Len Warner, Bruce Fidler and Lisa Szegedi-Greco Malcolm Pirnie; Tom Gallagher, Ed Garland, Jim Fitzpatrick and Robin Landeck Miller HydroQual.
- From February 23-25, 2005 project team members conducted field activities to retrieve data from moorings and replace instrument batteries. On February 23, 2005 the boat launch site was not accessible, the Nereid Boat Club gate was locked, and no member of the boat club could be contacted to gain access. Therefore, attempts were made to conduct the field activities the next day. On February 24, 2005 moorings 1, 2, and 3 were retrieved, data was downloaded, and fresh batteries were installed. Mooring 3 was stuck/lodged on something in the river, and the mooring could not be pulled up by hand. The mooring was finally retrieved by using the USEPA boat to pull the mooring free. On February 25, 2005 water column samples were collected and shipped to the laboratory on Monday, February 28, 2005 for TSS/VSS (to calibrate OBS), POC, DOC, and DO analysis.
- CERCLA and WRDA project team members worked together to develop a geophysical survey scope (including side scan sonar and sub-bottom profiling) for subcontractor implementation. Using the scope for surveying work from FSP – Vol. 3, CERCLA team members began integrating the scope for ground-truthing and collaborated with modelers on requirements for other surveying activities.
- On February 28, Malcolm Pimie submitted a revised geophysical survey scope (using text from FSP Vol 3) to the
 agencies for review. This effort was charged to the Draft Final WP/FSP Vol 1, since no funding exists for the
 CERCLA team to specifically modify this document (and WRDA funding is not available).
- On March 1, 2005 a Data Type/Data Users meeting was held at Malcolm Pirnie's office in Fair Lawn, NJ. The purpose of the meeting and subsequent conference calls was to discuss analytical data needs for the Passaic River project (and by extension, the Newark Bay RI/FS TSI sampling effort and oversight activities) and to achieve consensus on field program needs for geochemistry, modeling, and risk assessment. Topics discussed included: objectives; draft decision trees for dynamic work plan; data type/data users discussion (geotechnical program, geophysical program, high resolution coring, low resolution coring, pore water sampling, mudflat sampling, and water column sampling); laboratory data types (screening data, standard analysis); historic data evaluation; and schedule. The meeting also initiated updates/revisions to geophysical survey program description in FSP Vol. 3 based on iterative discussions with partner agencies, modelers, and survey subcontractors. Supplemental geophysical program elements were developed, such as ground truth sediment coring. Lastly, additional changes to the hydrodynamic sampling work plan were initiated to address changes to the sediment experiment elements, in consideration of and accommodating comments of new USEPA modeling program reviewer on the data collection program relative to modeling needs.
- Malcolm Pirnie provided tables for HydroQual and Battelle to fill out with regard to data needs for various technical aspects of the project (e.g., sediment transport modeling, ecological risk assessment); feedback was requested by March 8. Malcolm Pirnie asked HydroQual and Battelle for review and input to the draft decision strategy diagrams by March 8. Malcolm Pirnie asked Battelle for input to the laboratory scopes of work. Malcolm Pirnie asked HydroQual for input with regard to geotechnical parameters. Malcolm Pirnie agreed to send CD-ROMs of TSI's Remedial Investigation/Work Plan documents to HydroQual.

Battelie

- Battelle and MPI continued discussions regarding Method Detection Limits and risk Action Levels. Following
 additional communications between MPI and Battelle, revisions of the Action Level Table were transmitted to Malcolm
 Pimie by Battelle.
- On 14 February 2005, Battelle received authorization to begin resolving comments regarding the Pathways Analysis Report (PAR).
- Battelle received a request from Jim McCann (MPI) to assist in preparation of QC Tables, following Pimie's format, covering low-MDL analyses using NOAA NS&T methods for semi-volatiles, PAHs, PCBs, and selected metals.
 Battelle worked with Jim McCann to assist in preparation of QC Tables (following MPI's format), covering low-MDL analyses using NOAA NS&T methods for semi-volatiles, PAHs, butyltins, and selected metals. Draft QC Tables were delivered on February 7, 2005; however, development of the tables led to additional discussions of the appropriateness of some of the reporting levels, particularly those that were selected in the absence of action levels.

HydroQual

- For the Draft Modeling Plan, HydroQual reviewed comments provided by the TAC and constructed a matrix of TAC
 and Agency comments. HydroQual held internal meetings to formulate technical responses to these comments. For the
 Modeling Plan Working Session and Consensus Meetings, HydroQual prepared an agenda (with MPI) and coordinated
 logistics for these meetings.
- HydroQual began conducting shaker analyses of collected sediment samples at the company's laboratory. HydroQual
 also began basic analysis of data collected from initial phases of the study.

WAD 6 Work:

- During the reporting period, work continued on creating the field application that will be utilized for the project field
 activities. This included discussions between IT and sampling personnel as well as a review of existing wireless
 technology currently available in the study area.
- Ongoing routine maintenance (updating news items, etc.) occurred on ourpassaic.org. MPI reviewed materials sent by USEPA for inclusion on the website. These materials were edited and provided to USEPA for approval prior to moving into development and production.

Frojected WO	rk, Meetings Milestones through next FY.
Narrative	 Work Plans, Field Sampling Plans and associated documents are in various stages of completion. This field planning will continue in 2005 with sampling planned to begin in July 2005.
	 Continued updating and coordination of the integrated CERCLA/WRDA schedule and input from each agency PM for activities to ensure coordinated team progress.
	 MPI is continuing to work on options for a location, including ranking of potential locations, where long term river access may be established to support future fieldwork. Neried Boat Club declined consent to access extension on 18 February 2005.
Meetings	Continuation of Bi-weekly Progress calls.
	Continuation of Passaic PM and PDT Meetings with appropriate parties.
	Modeling Plan Meeting scheduled for 8 March 2005.
	Sampling Work Group meeting scheduled for 10 March 2005.
Key Milestones Forthcoming	 Anticipate award of contract modification in March 2005. Delays for this due to numerous proposal revisions and discussions concerning the work.
	Mobilization and fieldwork start planned for July 2005.

Issues	
Technical:	Long-term river access for 2005 fieldwork and beyond – effort to secure location still underway.
	WES Sedflume study will require rescheduling for spring of 2005. Other geophysical surveys (to be conducted by OMR) are necessary to assist in facilitating selection of sediment core sampling locations. Timing and sequencing of these events is very aggressive to meet projected fieldwork mobilization dates.
	A policy discussion and decision is needed to determine whether analytical RLs must be below baseline contaminant levels.
	 Quality Control performed by MPI on their work products has not been evident on many recent submittals. An interin performance evaluation has been prepared to formally document this performance and these issues have been discusse with MPI. Specific expectations have been discussed with MPI to raise their level of performance and the quality of their documents.
Schedule:	The schedule overall length is an issue of concern and there is interest in steps that can be taken to reduce the overall length of the study.
	 Minor delays in some work products based on sequencing and receipt of information from partner agencies; however, the overall field mobilization dates have not changed.
Funding:	Future funding needs for continued work into the next calendar year are being determined.
	 MPI has encountered several actions that have required additional funds (river access, additional management coordination among WRDA/CERCLA team, hydrodynamic field effort coordination/logistics); funds will be reallocated to cover these actions in the upcoming contract modification. The communication has exceeded initial projects and will be increased to account for the extra meetings. These have been addressed and are included in the upcoming contract modification.
	 Continued discussions have occurred with MPI concerning their tracking of funds and the appropriate manner in which to charge work to specific line items instead of using the project technical support budget.

IAG Summary												
Amendment Funding												
USACE Direct Fund Cite Amend # Date USACE Direct Fund Cite Total IAG												
1,000,000	0	Initial	9/24/02	-	-	\$ 1,000,000						
50,000	0	I	9/11/03	-	-	\$1,050,000						
156,000	0	2	4/15/04	-	-	\$ 1,206,000						
1,500,000	0	3	8/11/04	-	-	\$ 2,706,000						
50,000	0	4	9/17/04	-	-	\$2,756,000						
1,000,000	0	5	12/21/04	<u> </u>	-	\$3,756,000						

Note: Technical Assistance IAG; Procurement total = \$875,000; Personnel = \$175,000

Amendment 2 – allocation of funds is: Procurement total = \$1,081,000; Personnel \$125,000. Amendment 3 – allocation of funds is: Procurement total = \$2,381,500; Personnel = \$274,500

Amendment 4 – allocation of funds is: Procurement total = \$2,431,500; Personnel = \$324,500. Amendment 5 – allocation of funds is: Procurement total = \$3,331,500; Personnel = \$424,500

Expenditures: USACE & CONTRACT

Summary of Funds Spent Per Activity & Funds Remaining											
Description	Funds For Activity	Funds Disbursed This Month	Funds Disbursed To Date	Funds Remaining							
Contract – Task Order 0011	\$ 325,262.00	\$0	\$ 325,262.00	\$ 0							
Contract – Task Order 0011/Mod 2 Note 1	\$ 502,836.00	\$0	\$ 502,836.00	\$ 0							
Contract – Task Order 0011/Mod 3	\$ 94,236.00	\$0	\$ 94,236.00	\$ 0							
Contract – Task Order 0011/Mod 4	\$ 155,206.00	\$ 0	\$ 155,206.00	\$ 0							
Contract – Task Order 0011/Mod 5	\$1,313,167.00	\$ 0	\$ 52,312.64	\$1,260,854.36							
Contract Dollars Available	\$ 940,793.00	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 940,793.00							
Kansas City Corps In-House Labor/Travel	\$ 424,500.00	\$ 12,187.96	\$ 184,415.49	\$ 231,109.53							
Superfund M&S Fee	ψ 121,300.00	\$0	\$ 8.974.98	Ψ 251,107.55							
Totals	\$ 3,756,000.00	\$ 12,187.96	\$ 1,323,243.11	\$ 2,432,756.89							

Note 1: Task Order 0011/Mod 1 was administrative in nature; date extension and small change in fee/work structure of dollars (total dollars did not change).

Contract Obligations/Deobligations Plan (FY03/FY04/FY05 estimates)

Contract	Planned Obligation	Date	Actual Obligation	Date	Notes
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$300,000	1/31/03	\$325,262.00	3/11/03	New task order awarded; Task Order 0011 (WAD 3).
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$1,000,000	12/1/03	\$502,836.00	12/1/03	Total negotiated amount is \$1,187,911.00 of which \$502,836.00 was obligated (WADs 4 & 5).
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$500,000	1/2/04	\$94,236.00	2/2/04	Total negotiated amount is \$559,793 of which \$94,236 was obligated (WAD 6)
DACW41-02-D-0003		4/29/04	\$155,206.00	4/15/04	Incremental funding to continue work on WADs 4-6 as previously negotiated.
DACW41-02-D-0003	1,200,000	7/30/04	\$1,313,167.00	8/27/04	Task Order modification for 2004 fieldwork and FSP and associated document preparation.
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$900,000	2/15/05			Funding to finalize fieldwork documents and planning/preparations. Delay due to number of proposal revisions; anticipate award in March 2005.
DACW41-02-D-0003	\$1,500,000	4/30/05			Estimate of potential fieldwork sampling cost for spring/summer sampling (may obligate incrementally).
Т	otal Funds Obligated Task (Order 0011	\$2,390,707.00		7

IAG Scope of Work Summary (block 13 of IAG)

Base IAG: This amendment obligates \$1,000,000 to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Kansas City District for Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study at the Diamond Alkali OU3 Superfund Site (EPA ID: NJD980528996)

IAG Amendment #1 and #4 Scope of Work Summary (block 13 of IAG)

Progress Report for EPA Region II

This amendment obligates Urban Rivers Restoration Initiatives funds in the amount of \$50,000 to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Kansas City District for community relations activities in support of Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study at the Diamond Alkali, OU3 Superfund Site (EPA ID: NJD980528996)

IAG Amendment #2 and #3 Scope of Work (block 13 of IAG)

This amendment obligates an additional \$156,000 (#2) 1,500,000 (#3) of Special Account Funds to the Engineers – Kansas City District to perform activities in support of RI/FS at the Lower Passaic River portion of the Diamond Alkali, OU2 Superfund Site (EPA ID: NJD980528996)

Project Delivery Team

Name	Contact Information	Responsibility	
Beth Buckrucker	(816) 983-3581 Cell: (816) 695-5797	Project Manager	
Trudy Shannon	(816) 983-3822	Contract Specialist	
Robert Nunn	(816) 983-3837	Contracting Officer	
Ed Bristow	(816) 983-3583	COR	

Elizabeth Buckrucker

Project Manager 816-983-3581 Date: April 12, 2005

LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT DW96941975; BZ694 USACE/Contract Expenditures and Projected Expenditures

		:			FY04										
Bill Number==>	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	
item	Jan-04	Feb-04	Mar-04	Apr-04	May-04	Jun-04	Jul-04	Aug-04	Sep-04	Oct-04	Nov-04	Dec-04	Jan-05	Feb-05	Totals
Travel Expenses	0.00	0.00	1,571.50	0.00	1,326.55	679.30	378.30		1,349.55	1,320.20		1,331.05	1,338.80	718.32	18,354.50
Other: Reproduction Services/MIPRs for Labor	150.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			I		415.23	693.36		0.00	2,646.09
Departmental Overhead	1,698.21	2,095.78	2,471.74	2,075.73	1,458.03	935.80	934.63	18.30	671.09	2,660.98	2,503.45	2,402.46	3,198.61	3,437.59	44,272.91
General/Administrative Overhead	1,074.24	1,114.81	1,314.81	1,126.30	840.02	524.90	497.15	9.73	356.98	1,126.41	1,035.08	993.34	1,322.50	1,421.31	22,919.66
Labor	4,296.87	4,459.13	5,259.06	4,505.08	3,359.97	2,099.53	1,988.57	38.93	1,427.85	5,162.51	4,814.32	4,620.15	6,151.17	6,610.74	96,222.33
Superfund M&S Fee													8,974.98	0.00	8,974.98
USACE Labor; monthly	7,219.32	7,669.72	10,617.11	7,707.11	6,984.57	4,239.53	3,798.65	66.96	3,805.47	10,270.10	8,768.08	10,040.36	20,986.06	12,187.96	
Cumulative USACE Labor:	86,248.79	93,918.51	104,535.62	112,242.73	119,227.30	123,466.83	127,265.48	127,332.44	131,137.91	141,408.01	150,176.09	160,216.45	181,202.51	193,390.47	193,390.47
IAG Funding Received/Personnel:								149,500.00				100,000.00			424,500.00
IAG Funding Received/Contract:				156,000.00				1,350,500.00	50,000.00			900,000.00			3,331,500.00
Contracts Awarded		94,236.00	0.00	155,206.00				1,313,167.00							2,390,707.00
Contract Disbursements; monthly (Invoiced/Paid)	41,654.28	0.00	155,264.33	107,278.14	61,573.74	114,071.34	55,527.86	55,943.21	23,532.48	110,683.72	0.00	308,986.55	0.00	0.00	1,129,852.64
Cumulative Contract Disbusements	136,991.27	136,991.27	292,255.60	399,533.74	461,107.48	575,178.82	630,706.68	686,649.89	710,182.37	820,866.09	820,866.09	1,129,852.64	1,129,852.64	1,129,852.64	·
Cumulative Invoiced; labor and contract	223,240.06	230,909.78	396,791.22	511,776.47	580,334.78	698,645.65	757,972.16	813,982.33	841,320.28	962,274.10	971,042.18	1,290,069.09	1,311,055.15	1,323,243.11	
Remaining IAG \$\$	135,653.21	33,747.49	23,130.38	16,217.27	9,232.70	4,993.17	1,194.52	187,960.56	234,155.09	223,884.99	215,116.91	1,205,076.55	1,184,090.49	1,171,902.53	. '

